

ŁukasiewiczSieć BadawczaPoznań Institute of Technology

# Dual-Link Data Resilient Edge-tocloud Communication Framework for Agricultural Robots

Venice, 07.07.2025

Iman Esfandiyar, Kamil Młodzikowski







- Precision-farming robots generate **high-volume** sensor data but work in connectivity-poor **fields** – distant crop rows, orchards, vineyards.
- Existing links: LPWAN = long range, tiny payload vs Wi-Fi = high payload, short range.
- Mission-critical control **must never drop**; bulk data **must still reach** the cloud.
- Goal: robust, high-throughput, low-latency **comms** for one or many field robots.

#### Losing information risks crop damage, data loss, and safety incidents.





### **Our Contributions**

- Built-in scalability for fleets of robots using standard ROS 2 namespaces.

Dual-link framework that runs control over LoRa radio and bulk data over Wi-Fi/Zenoh. Smart data router on the robot that decides when to stream and when to log locally. Machine-learning detector that spots a weak Wi-Fi link seconds before it drops. Field proof: under 100 ms Wi-Fi latency up to 240 m; LoRa reliable beyond 350 m.



#### **System Architecture**

#### Agricultural robot (far edge)



4





#### **System Hardware**





#### **Data Flow**





### **Data Router Logic**

- **Inputs**: robot–gateway distance + heartbeat delay trend.
- If Wi-Fi looks healthy  $\rightarrow$  **Transfer Mode**: stream live data to gateway.
- ROS-bag recording.

If Wi-Fi degrades or distance is too large  $\rightarrow$  **Storage Mode**: stop streaming, start local

Target  $\geq 1$  s overlap so nothing falls through the cracks; achieved 0.8 s in tests.



### **Predicting Wi-Fi Link Failure Proactively**

- **Data fed every 2s**: last 64 heartbeat gaps (robot  $\rightleftharpoons$  gateway) + current range.
- **Offline learning**: XGBoost regression trains on 3 285 normal samples to model expected timing behaviour.
- **Online scoring**: residuals clustered with K-Means (k = 20); high distance  $\Rightarrow$  anomaly.
- **Accuracy** on field logs:
  - Precision 0.95
  - Recall 0.97

## Experiments

In our experiments we measured:

- Communication Latency
- Packet Loss
- Network Coverage
- Data Overlap







#### **Field-Test**







#### **Results: WiFi and LoRa delay**



WiFi and LoRa packet delays as the robot moves away from the gateway. As the robot recedes from the gateway, packet transmission delays increase; the Wi-Fi link fails beyond approximately 300 m, whereas the LoRa channel continues to deliver low-bandwidth data with an almost constant latency.







A) WiFi and LoRa packet delays

A) WiFi and LoRa packet delays, and B) WiFi received packets and LoRa packet loss as the robot moves away from and gets close to the gateway, switching between Transfer and Storage Modes at a 50-meter distance threshold.



B) WiFi Received packets and LoRa packet lost



#### **Results: Anomaly Detection**



Anomaly detection system recognizes issues with Wi-Fi data transfer, particularly as the



distance between the robot and the gateway increases and signal quality begins to degrade.



#### Conclusions

- high-volume sensor data.
- 240 m.
- Zenoh bridge ingests all; LoRa multicast fans out commands.



**Hybrid LoRa + Wi-Fi scheme**: LoRa carries vital control/status; Wi-Fi/Zenoh off-loads

Field trials confirm **robust control** beyond 350 m and sub-100 ms bulk-data links within

**Architecture already multi-robot-ready**: ROS 2 namespaces isolate streams; single

**Next steps:** stress-test heterogeneous fleets; optimise hot-standby Zenoh; study high-density LoRa multicast; integrate automated cloud sync under EU ICOS meta-OS.

## Thank You!



